	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/821,325	AGRAWAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ADAM DUDA	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	pears on the cover sheet was (OR REMAINS) CLOSED of or other appropriate common religious of the common state of the cover sheet with the cover sheet was a common state of the cover sheet with the cover sheet we cover sheet with the cover sheet we common state of the cover sheet with the cover sheet we cover sheet with the cover sheet we cover sheet with the cover sheet with the cover sheet we cover sheet with the cover sheet we cover sheet with the cover	rith the correspondence address in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course.	
	<u>5/2009</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-33 and 35</u> .			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Tertified copies of the priority documents have Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI 	re been received. re been received in Applicat ocuments have been receiv " of this communication to fi	ion No ed in this national stage application from	
 THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subr INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 	mitted. Note the attached EX		OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu	ist he suhmitted		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper		ew (PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	_	,	
 (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR) 	1.84(c)) should be written on	the drawings in the front (not the back) of	i
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	_		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview	nformal Patent Application Summary (PTO-413),	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),		./Mail Date <u>9/25/09</u> . s Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		s Statement of Reasons for Allowance Continuation Sheet.	
/ADAM DUDA/			
Examiner, Art Unit 2416			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: see attached "Notice of Allowance" and attached "Interference Search" and attached "Examiner's Amendments" and attached "Interview Notes".

Art Unit: 2416

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

attached "Response to Arguments"

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see REMARKS filed 7/15/2009, with respect to claims 1-33 and 35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-33 and 35 has been withdrawn.
- Claims 1-33 and 35 are allowable. Claims 34, 36-41 are canceled. Claims 8-10 and 34 are amended by examiner's amendment (see following pages).
 Paragraph 0030 of the instant application is amended by examiner's amendment (see following pages).

Art Unit: 2416

a.

attached "Allowable Subject Matter/Reasons for Allowance"

- 1. Claims 1-33 and 35 are allowable.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 - The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities). Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 1, "based on the recipient identification information and the indication of content in the received request message, determining that the recipient's mobile device subscribers to a service associated with the content sharing system; responsive to determining that the recipient's mobile device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the recipient's mobile device and the user's mobile device have compatible capabilities".
 - b. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of

 WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method

 and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible

terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities). Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 8, "wherein the specific resource locator parameter identifies a device-dependent portion of the content, and wherein the generic resource locator parameter identifies a non-device-dependent portion of the content; means for basing the user-selectable share content link on an application program interface provided in association with a content sharing application of the mobile service provider; means for determining, based on information in a share content request received from a user's mobile device, that the recipient's mobile device is associated with a user that subscribes to the mobile service provided by the mobile service provider; and means for determining, responsive to determining that the recipient's mobile device is associated with the user that subscribes to the mobile service provided by the mobile service provider, determining whether the recipient's mobile device and the user's mobile device have compatible capabilities.".

c. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible

terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities).

Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 14, "determining that the second user device subscribes to a service associated with the content sharing system; responsive to determining that the second user device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the first user device and the second user device have compatible capabilities".

d. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities).
Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 21, "wherein the content sharing application determines that the second wireless device subscribes to a service associated with the wireless telecommunications service provider system; and wherein the content sharing application

Application/Control Number: 10/821,325

Art Unit: 2416

determines, responsive to determining that the second wireless device subscribers to the service associated with the wireless telecommunications service provider system, whether the first wireless device has capabilities compatible with the second wireless device".

Page 6

e. The prior art made of record, Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) discloses a method and a system for "providing multimedia messages to incompatible terminals" by determining a model of a device and not the device capabilities (i.e. same device models have different capabilities).

Evans does not disclose whether the capabilities of a recipient's device are compatible with the capabilities of a user's device. Evans (US 7,200,680) in view of WAP Push (WAP Push Message Ver. 16-Aug-99) fails to teach, with respect to claim 24, "determining that the second mobile device subscribes to a service associated with a content sharing system; and responsive to determining that the second mobile device subscribes to the service associated with the content sharing system, determining whether the first mobile device and the second mobile device have compatible capabilities;".

Art Unit: 2416

attached "Examiner's Amendments"

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interviews with **Aaron Bourgeois (Reg. No. 57,936)** on 9/25/2009.

attached "Amendments to Claims"

Please make the following amendments to the claims:

8. (Currently amended) A content provider system configured for facilitating the sharing of content among users of mobile devices interconnected within one or more mobile telecommunication networks, wherein at least some of the users subscribe to a mobile service provided by a mobile service provider, the system comprising:

a processor; and

a memory coupled to the processor, the memory including a set of instructions stored therein and executable by the processor for:

means for generating a user-selectable share content link as part of content available for access by users of mobile devices, wherein the user-selectable share content link comprises a specific resource locator parameter and a generic resource locator parameter, wherein the specific resource locator parameter identifies a device-dependent portion of the content, and wherein the generic resource locator parameter identifies a non-device-dependent portion of the content; and

means for basing the user-selectable share content link on an application program interface provided in association with a content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

- 9. (Currently amended) The system of claim 8 further comprising means for providing the content, including the user-selectable share content link, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider.
- 10. (Currently amended) The system of claim 8 further comprising means for providing the content, including the user-selectable share content link, to a device of a user, wherein the content can then be shared with a recipient device via the content sharing application of the mobile service provider, and wherein selecting the user-selectable share content link results in a request message being sent to the content sharing application of the mobile service provider.

34. (Canceled)

Art Unit: 2416

attached "Amendments to Specification"

Please substitute paragraph 0030 of the specification with the following paragraph:

Aspects of the invention may be stored or distributed on computer-readable media, including magnetically or optically readable computer disks, such as a microcode on semiconductor memory, nanotechnology memory, organic or optical memory, or other portable data storage media. Those skilled in the relevant art will recognize that portions of the invention reside on a server computer, while corresponding portions reside on a client computer, such as a mobile device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM DUDA whose telephone number is (571)270-5136. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 9:30 a.m. - 7:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272 - 3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ADAM DUDA/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Steven HD Nguyen/

Art Unit: 2416

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Art Unit: 2416